

Special Issue Distances

FUORI LUOGO

Journal of Sociology of Territory,
Tourism, Technology

Guest Editors

Anna Maria Zaccaria

Maria Camilla Fraudatario



Editor in chief: Fabio Corbisiero
Editorial manager: Carmine Urciuoli

YEAR VI - VOL. 15 - NUM. 2 - JUNE 2023
FedOA – Federico II University Press
ISSN (online) 2723 – 9608 – ISSN (print) 2532 – 750X

Special Issue Distances

FUORI LUOGO

Journal of Sociology of Territory,
Tourism, Technology

Guest editors

Anna Maria Zaccaria

Maria Camilla Fraudatario



Editor in chief: Fabio Corbisiero
Editorial manager: Carmine Urciuoli

YEAR VI - VOL. 15 - NUM. 2 - JUNE 2023

FedOA – Federico II University Press

ISSN (online) 2723 – 9608 – ISSN (print) 2532 – 750X



This Special Issue of *Fuori Luogo* is made possible with the contribution of the Italian Association of Sociology
Section Sociology of the Environment and Territory

This issue is dedicated to the memory of Prof. Gabriele Qualizza, Università Ca' Foscari di Venezia

Summary

9. Editorial

How to reflect on social distance to strengthen socio-territorial cohesion and equality

Fabio Corbisiero

11. Distances - Places, Practices, Knowledges

Anna Maria Zaccaria, Maria Camilla Fraudatario

15. Cities, Immigrants and Political Participation: The case of Constituencies in Milan

Carlo Colloca, Licia Lipari, Elisa Lombardo

29. Urban Distance, Civic Virtues, and Bottom-Up Solidarity in Milan:

the Case of Brigate Volontarie per l'Emergenza

Monica Bernardi, Chiara Caterina Razzano

43. The Spatial Dimension of Early School Leaving in Campania Region: The Case of Scuola Viva Program

Pietro Sabatino, Emanuele Madonia, Giancarlo Ragozini

55. The Epistemic Distances in the Sustainable Energy Transmission Process

Ilaria Marotta, Dario Minervini e Ivano Scotti

FUORI LUOGO INTERVIEW

73. Struggles for the Right to Housing between Physical and Social Distances.

Interview with Miguel A. Martínez

Riccardo Zaccaria

3T SECTIONS - 3T READINGS

87. Le due periferie. Il territorio e l'immaginario, Massimo Ilardi, Derive e Approdi, 2022.

Antonietta Riccardo

91. *Temi e metodi per la sociologia del territorio*, a cura di Giampaolo Nuvolati e Marianna d'Ovidio,

UTET università, 2022

Gilda Catalano

95. L'Italia lontana. Una politica per le aree interne, a cura di Lucatelli, S., Luisi, D., Tantillo,

F., Donzelli Editore, 2022.

Marco Marucci

FUORI LUOGO SECTION

99. Calculation, Life and Temporality:

on Some Elements of Consonance Between Cryptoeconomy and Techno-Manipulation of Nature

Luigi Doria

115. Residential Segregation: Concepts, Mechanisms and Effects of Spatial Inequalities

Antonio De Falco

131. Planning for Accessibility. Lecce: a Case-Study

Giuseppe Galballo

EDITOR IN CHIEF

Fabio Corbisiero (University of Naples Federico II)

✉ direttore@fuoriluogo.info

EDITORIAL MANAGER

Carmine Urciuoli

✉ caporedattore@fuoriluogo.info

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Fabio Amato (Università degli Studi di Napoli L'Orientale), Enrica Amato (Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II), Francesco Antonelli (Università degli Studi Roma Tre), Biagio Aragona (Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II), Elisabetta Bellotti (University of Manchester), Erika Bernacchi (Università degli Studi di Firenze), Kath Browne (UCD - University College Dublin), Amalia Caputo (Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II), Gilda Catalano (Università della Calabria), Matteo Colleoni (Università degli Studi di Milano Bicocca), Linda De Feo (Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II), Paola de Salvo (University of Perugia), Abdelhadi El Halhouli (Université Sultan Moulay Slimane – Beni Mellal – Maroc), Fiammetta Fanizza (University of Foggia), Domenica Farinella (Università degli Studi di Messina), Mariano Longo (Università del Salento), Fabiola Mancinelli (Universitat de Barcelona), Luca Marano (Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II), Mara Maretta (Università degli Studi di Chieti Gabriele d'Annunzio), Ilaria Marotta (Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II), Giuseppe Masullo (Università degli Studi di Salerno), Pietro Maturi (Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II), Antonio Maturò (Università di Bologna Alma Mater Studiorum), Claudio Milano (Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona), Salvatore Monaco (Libera Università di Bolzano - Freie Universität Bozen), Khalid Mouna (Université Moulay Ismail – Mèknes - Maroc), Pierluigi Musarò (Università di Bologna Alma Mater Studiorum), Katherine O'Donnell (UCD - University College of Dublin), Giustina Orientale Caputo (Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II), Gaia Peruzzi (Università degli Studi di Roma La Sapienza), Jason Pine (State University of New York), José Ignacio Pichardo Galán (Universidad Complutense de Madrid), Tullio Romita (Università della Calabria), Emanuele Rossi (Università degli Studi Roma Tre), Elisabetta Ruspini (Università degli Studi di Milano Bicocca), Mara Sanfelici (Università degli Studi di Milano Bicocca), Annamaria Vitale (Università della Calabria), Anna Maria Zaccaria (Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II).

COMITATO DI REDAZIONE/EDITORIAL BOARD

Antonella Berritto (Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II)

Amalia Caputo (Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II)

Rosanna Cataldo (Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II)

Linda De Feo (Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II)

Monica Gilli (Università degli Studi di Torino)

Santina Musolino (Università degli Studi Roma Tre)

Feliciano Napoletano (Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II)

Francesco Santelli (Università degli Studi di Trieste)

Antón Freire Varela (Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II)

Editorial staff

✉ redazione@fuoriluogo.info

tel. +39-081-2535883

English text editor: Pietro Maturi.

Cover by Fabio Improta. Creative idea by C. Urciuoli

EDITORE



FedOA - Federico II University Press
University Library Centre "Roberto Pettorino"
University of Naples Federico II

Editorial responsibility

Fedoa adopts and promotes specific guidelines on editorial responsibility, and follows COPE's Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors.

Authorization of the Court of Naples n. 59 of 20 December 2016.

Director: Carmine Urciuoli

ISSN 2723-9608 (online publication) ISSN 2532-750X (printed publication)

Articles

In evaluating the proposed works, the journal follows a peer review procedure. The articles are proposed for evaluation by two anonymous referees, once removed any element that could identify the author.

Propose an article. The journal uses a submission system (open journal) to manage new proposals on the site. www.serena.unina.it/index.php/fuoriluogo

Rights and permissions. For each contribution accepted for publication on "Fuori Luogo", the authors must return to the editorial staff a letter of authorization, completed and signed. Failure to return the letter affects the publication of the article.

The policies on the reuse of articles can be consulted at www.serena.unina.it/index.php/fuoriluogo

Fuori Luogo is one of the open access journals published under the SHARE Interuniversity Convention.

Fuori Luogo is included in the ANVUR list of scientific journals for Area 14, Political and Social Sciences (since 2016), in Area 8, Civil Engineering and Architecture (since 2019), and Area 11, History, philosophy, pedagogy and psychology (since 2019). It is classified in Class A in 14/C1, Sociology (since 2019), 14/C2, Social Policy and Social Work (since 2019), 14/C3, General and Applied Sociology (since 2017), and 14/D1, Methodology of Social Research (since 2017).

Fuori Luogo is indexed in: DOAJ Directory of Open Access Journals - ACNP Catalogue code n. PT03461557 - Index Copernicus International ID 67296.

The journal is part of CRIS Coordinamento Riviste Italiane di Sociologia.

Fuori Luogo is included in the LOCKSS (Lots of Copies Keep Stuff Safe) network of the Public Knowledge Project (PKP PLN)

The contents are published under a Creative Commons 4.0 license.

Distances Places, Practices, Knowledges

The Environmental and Territorial Sociologists' conference held in Naples on 8-9 July 2021 was among the first to break the lengthy lockdown imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic. Amidst persisting uncertainties about the advisability of re-establishing physical proximity without incurring significant risks of contagion, the conference was conducted in a hybrid manner (in-person and online), with many attendees participating physically.

In a pervasive climate of pandemic tension, which would require at least another year to subside, the topic of "Distances" emerged as an inevitable subject to address. While central to social sciences in general and territorial sociology in particular, during the pandemic the theme of distances also became paramount in public, political, and scientific discourse, often leading to rhetorical and semantic digressions. The preventive logic based on physical distancing indeed influenced governmental and health countermeasures against the pandemic for at least two years. Epidemiologists were the primary advocates for the concept of social distancing; and according to the more pessimistic among them, lifestyles characteristic of the pre-COVID-19 era would no longer be possible.

Amid the pandemic's ripple, the concept of distance has been progressively integrated into associative life' practices and discourses, defining new forms of cooperation but also igniting social and political conflicts. In media narratives, it has become the most recurrent term across languages. In essence, the concept of distance found itself at the heart of a stream of discourses and practices that adulterated its original significance conceptualized by social sciences: in part distorting it and in part, perhaps, modernizing it. Specifically, the term social distance improperly became synonymous with social distancing, understood as the set of actions aimed at containing the spread of infection. This inappropriate juxtaposition of two concepts from distinct fields (sociology and medicine) effectively diminished the spatial, cultural, and dynamic dimensions of the "distance" concept, altering its essence and meaning.

Generally, the pivotal issue remains the relationship between physical and social distance; the measures taken against the COVID-19 pandemic spurred sociological contemplation on this relationship, highlighting the lexical association's ambiguity. An initial observation worth noting is that social distance implies a deliberate choice, whereas physical distance is a rather tangible possibility. Social distancing, understood as the reduction of contacts, is a non-pharmacological epidemiological concept, aimed at limiting physical proximity to reduce infection probability and control the spread of contagious diseases (Bennato, 2020). The linguistic ambiguity in social distancing lies in its emphasis on the social aspect of the term. During various pandemic lockdown phases, physical distance was more enforced than the social. The latter, in some ways, was mitigated by resorting to digital and social media to maintain relationships, often even reviving weakened ones (Bennato, 2020).

In this context, the Naples conference aimed to refocus on the concept of Distances (intentionally using the plural) at various levels (spatial, practices, knowledge), necessitating contributions from various disciplines. It also underscores the need to develop new analytical methods and approaches while staying true to the traditional frameworks established in sociological knowledge. It is known that this line of study was initiated by George Simmel, one of the first to reference the concept of social distance (*Historisches Wörterbuch der Philosophie*, 1908) in his reflections on societal spatial arrangements. For Simmel (1989) space gains meaning and manifests as a psychic content when individuals transition from alienation to interaction. In the early 1920s, amidst the burgeoning empirical approach to urban reality characterizing the Chicago School, Robert Park (1924) would then further this perspective, somewhat diverging from Simmel's view.

1 Anna Maria Zaccaria, University of Naples Federico II, zaccaria@unina.it, ORCID: 0000-0002-0456-5506;

2 Maria Camilla Fraudatario, University of Salerno, mfraudatario@unisa.it, ORCID: 0000-0003-0817-8586.

The concept of social distance was translated into an attempt to quantify proximity or intimacy between individuals or groups, mutual trust, and perceived similarity in terms of culture, beliefs, and identity. Space was seen as an external category to society, an objective element posing constraints to social activities, overlooking the intrinsic cultural dimension that Simmel (1989) attributed to spatial processes.

In the subsequent developments of sociological reflection – backed by empirical evidence search – social distance was conceptually formalized in various ways: affective (Bogardus, 1933); normative (Durkheim, 1971); interactive (Fisher, 1982; Boissevain and Mitchell, 1973); cultural (Bourdieu, 1994). In all these perspectives, the physical, symbolic, and spatial implications retain significant relevance. In different contexts, the use and organization of spaces, along with their symbolic and cultural meanings, can be indicators of social distance/proximity (Warner, 1949). Moreover, as noted by Simmel, spatial distance promotes the development of social distance between individuals as it intervenes in relational processes, thereby favoring intellectual ones. Simmel highlights that the difference between closeness and distance is notably relative: the sociological meaning of spatial conditions for each is not always consistent. This is even truer in modern times: physical and social spaces have lost their co-planarity to intersect and refract each other (Mandich, 1996).

The contributions gathered in this volume based on a selection of articles offer reflections on the concept of distance in light of the pandemic experience and its repercussions. On one hand, these repercussions impact the very articulation of the concept itself; on the other, they influence certain social processes which necessitate the invocation of the distance concept for comprehension. Colloca, Lipari, and Lombardo delve into the topic of the territorialization of social inequalities through the lens of international migrations and settlement models in urban contexts. The focus is directed towards the extent to which these models delineate distances between the center and peripheries, both within cities and on a global scale. In terms of spatial organization, the concentration of immigrant/foreigner groups and communities can be highlighted not only as an indicator of segregation and social marginality but also as a representation of social distance structures and power dynamics.

Razzano and Bernardi investigate specific practices of mutual aid and grassroots solidarity that emerged during the Covid-19 pandemic crisis. They present the case of *Brigate Volontarie per l'Emergenza* (Volunteer Emergency Brigades – hereinafter BVEs), born in Milan during the Covid-19 emergency to support people in need, discussing the hypothesis of their potential in reducing both urban and social distances. The resources anchoring these practices are both material and immaterial in nature, but are invariably spatially situated.

Sabatino, Madonia and Ragozini introduce the case of the “Scuola Viva” program, initiated by the Campania Region, which engages public, private, and citizen actors to bolster local learning communities. More specifically, the initiative aims to combat school dropout and educational poverty. Through the reconstruction of territorial disadvantage maps based on synthetic dropout risk indicators, the authors probe the implications of the project in terms of its effects on social distances predicated on socio-economic and demographic factors.

Marotta, Minervini, and Scotti broach the subject of the sustainability of the Energy Transition. They observe that, in combating the crisis induced by the Covid-19 pandemic, the European Union has allocated significant investments to promote actions in the ecological transition and digitalization sectors. The necessity – and opportunity – of involving diverse “new” actors in these processes complicates the decision-making landscape. The main impending is perceived as the “epistemic distance” among the actors involved in the transition process. This gap undermines the perspective of transdisciplinarity.

Two years after the conference held in Naples, the thought-provoking insights provided by these contributions remain highly topical. They suggest avenues of research and analysis on the concept of Distance, to which the end of the pandemic crisis is progressively restoring a meaning free from ambiguity, yet no less intricate and dynamic.

References

- Bennato, D. (2020). I due paradossi del concetto di distanza sociale. Espressioni antiche, esperienze nuove. *Forward 18, DISTANZA*, n. 2, Pp. 13-14.
- Bogardus, E.S. (1925). Social Distance and Its Origins. *Journal of Applied Sociology*, 9, Pp. 216-226
- Boissevain, J., Mitchell, J.C. (1973). *Network Analysis: Studies in Human Interaction*. Mouton: The Hague-Paris.
- Bourdieu, P. (1994). *Raisons pratiques. Sur la théorie de l'action*. Paris: Seuil.
- Corposanto, C. (2020). Memorie di Pandemia, Distanza sociale o distanza fisica?. *Sociologia clinica*, 2020/07.
- Durkheim, E. (1971). *Le forme elementari della vita religiosa*. Milano: Edizioni di Comunità.
- Fischer, C.S. (1982). *To Dwell among Friends*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Mandich, G. (1996). Georg Simmel: sociologia dello spazio. *Quaderni del dipartimento di Ricerche Economiche e Sociali - Sezione sociologia*, Cagliari.
- Park, R.E. (1926). The Concept of Position in Sociology. *Papers and Proceedings of the American Sociological Society*, 20, Pp. 1-14.
- Simmel, G. (1989). *Sociologia*. Milano: Edizioni di Comunità.
- Warner, W.L. (1949). *Social Class in America: A Manual of Procedure for the Measurement of Social Status*. New York: Harper.